

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,298	01/25/2002	Maria Chovet	A0000506-01-DRK	9770	
75	590 03/12/2003				
David R. Kurlandsky			EXAMINER		
Warner-Lamber	Road		JIANG, SH	G, SHAOJIA A	
Ann Arbor, MI	46103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	10/056,298		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shaojia A. Jiang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet	with the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	— · iis action is non-final.		
, 		vottore proposition as to the m	orite is
 Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 	•	• •	ieriis is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	1 priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document		A called the a No	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		• •	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ge
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		
Attachment(s)	, ,	••	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILD ACTION

This application claims priority to EPO 01400214.1.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 5 and 10 drawn to a method for the prevention or treatment of visceral pain administering a compound of structural formula I-IV, classified in class 514, subclass 561, 553, and 557 for example.
- II. Claims 2, 6 drawn to a method for preventing or treating gastrointestinal disorders administering a compound of structural formula I-IV, classified in class 514, subclass 561, 553, and 557 for example.
- III. Claims 11-14 drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation employing a compound of structural formula I-IV, classified in class 514, subclass 561, 553, and 557 for example.

Claims 3-4, and 7-9 link(s) inventions Groups I and II. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), 3-4, and 7-9. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the

Art Unit: 1617

continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Inventions I and II are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are separate and distinct each from the other because they have different functions. The invention of Group I functions to treat visceral pain in a patient. The invention of Group II functions to treat gastrointestinal disorders in a patient. One of ordinary skill in the art would acknowledge that visceral pain may be caused by dysmenorrhea, pelvi infammation, and cystitis, as Applicant's admits (see the instant specification page 1 lines 21-22). These disorders are known to be separate and distinct from gastrointestinal disorders. Each method of treatment relates to a separate and distinct area of pharmaceutical technology. The search for all inventions would place an undue burden on the examiner in view of the diversity of the medical disorders to be treated and the corresponding diversity in the field of search for each.

Inventions Group I-II, and III are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1617

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). Therefore, the criteria for distinct inventions: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. In the instant case, for example, morphine or related opioid (another materially different product from the instant claimed compound of formula I-IV) may be used in the treatment of visceral pain in a patient.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention for each group (see above restriction): structural formula I-IV in claims 1-2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a specified species for the elected group (see above restriction) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-4, 7-11, and 13-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. The claims read on the employment of various compounds of different structural formula, e.g., I-IV, with great diversity of chemical structure classified across class 514, the search for all of which presents an undue burden on the Office. It is noted that a

Art Unit: 1617

reference to one individual agent would not be a reference to another individual agent under 35 U.S.C.103.

A "specie" is a specific compound or treatment, with all parameters and/or substituent variables FULLY accounted for.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1617

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P Sec. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, AU 1617

March 6, 2003

1235.